1914-15
(Courts)

File no-185-25
S.L.no 1-6.

Appointment of a Public Prose-cutor for the Bangalore. vice Mr
S Ranganna Resigned

G. R. S. 58]

may be preserved

Previous references.
C 293-10-4
- 281-13-3
- 129-15-4

1915. 16

File No. 185-15

Serial Nos. 1-6

(Court)

Later references.
45-15-84 32.
ch. 16-21(1-10)
cs 133-24(1-12)
11161-39/1-3

This is a Secret Court

Preserve for 21/11/17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1- 6

Part B for May ib

SUBJECT.

Appointment of a Public Prosecutor for the Bangalore Dn Vice Mr S. Rangama resigned

---

To the Regr Ch Cs
" Dns of Dts Except Mysore & Hassan
" Dt & Sessions Judges of Bg & Shimoga
" Comptr & Govt

J. 4422-34 Dy 11-5-16

Slate that Mr Seeha Sastri is appted as Public Prosecutor of Shimoga & that Mr Dn Ramachandra Rao is transferred to Bg Dn

Bangalore 12/5/16

WD 1351—GPK—20,000—13-12-15

G. R. S. 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts)

NOTES.

File No. 185-15

FILE HEADING.

Appointment of a public prosecutor for the Bangalore Dy. vice Mr S. Rangama resigned

From

Mr. S. Rangama, Public Prosecutor

No. – Dated 8.1.16 Received 8.1.16

Diary No. 1628	Enclos. –	Spare Copies. –	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that he has been a public prosecutor for the last four years and four months; that his practice has irreparably suffered and that there is nothing to gain by continuing any longer, and therefore requests to be relieved of his duties permanently from the 1st February or any other convenient date.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Mr Rangama was first appointed in Aug 1911 and reappointed for a further term of 3 years from Aug 1914.

2) May proposals from the D.C. be called for? Draft

C 293-10-12

C 281-13-3

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,100 - 8 S-14

G. R. S. 12]

1898

(2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Cts File No. 185 of 1915.

Serial No.	
...	put up for approval or other orders.
	SS 10.1.16. MM
	SS 10/1/16
	First Member)
	~~Kbly Dist Mr of Bangalore~~
3)	Please let me have a list of advocates in Bangalore arranged according to Year of enrolment.
	10/1/16 Dwe 11/1
Cts 11/1	
2	From Mr S. Venkatachala Iyengar, Advocate Bangalore
	to Dy 11.1.1916
	Submits an application in the prescribed form for being appointed as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore.
1 J.A. 597-15	4) In the list of advocates received from the Chief Court, those practising in Bangalore have been so shown in pencil.
	Reg. MM 15.1.16. SS 15/1
	First Member)
	Send the appln of Mr ~~[illegible]~~ to the Secretary per demi-Officially

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

1898

General and Revenue Secretariat. | ts File No. 185 of 1915-16.

Serial No.

5) ~~For opinion to the Ch. Judge.~~ Please obtain ~~after getting~~ (demi-Officially & Confidentially) the recom^ns of the three D. M's & the Sess. Judge & I. G. of Police. They may be asked to send up three names of Adv. or Pleaders whom they Consider Suitable. Reply to be asked for ~~by~~ in 3 or 4 days.

17/1/16

6) The opinion of the Ch. Judge may be asked for about Mr. Venk^r - who has applied to be appt^d Pub Pr^r.

17/1/16

7) Two drafts sub^d.

K. H.
20-1-1916

8) Issue

Sus^d

43

To Messrs K. Chandy, K. R. Srinivasiengar, P. F. Bowring, B. Nagappa & Srikanteswara Aiyar

No J 2797-807 dy 20. 1. 1915

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

1915

4.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G/S File No. 185 of 1915-16

Serial No.	
4	Requests that the names of three advocates or pleaders who are considered suitable for the post of Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, may be suggested for the consideration of Govt.
4	To Sir Leslie C. Miller Kt., Chief Judge No J 2795 dt 20.1.1916 Forwards the application of M/ Venkatachala Iyengar and requests that Govt may be favoured with his opinion as to the merits of the applicant.
	9) No reply has yet been recd to the above communication. Subd for instructions.
	Rs. 27.1.1916. 10) I have received the application from all except the Magistrate of Kolar, to whom a reminder may be issued.
	11) Draft reminder to Dy. Commr, Kolar, submitted. Rs. 27.1.16
	12) Issued Reminder issued J 2709 dt 27.1.1916
	13) The recommendations of the officers referred to in paras above are submitted herewith in a sealed cover.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15.

(5

7/2/16

Dear Sir,

With reference to the conversation which I had with you, I beg to state that I do not consider it an advantage to continue as Public Prosecutor even on an increased salary of Rs 200/- per month.

I beg to remain
Sir,
your most obedient
servant
Thangavelu
Public Prosecutor

Bangalore
6th Febr '16

Rajah Ramba Bahadur
The Hon. Nanjundayya Esq.
C.I.E.
I Member Council

1915

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/o File No. 185 of 1915.

(6

Serial No.

Es 29.1

(Not minuted) ≠

Informal

6) I thought that Mr. Rangaiya was ~~to~~ not quite determined and so asked him again. Of course I did not offer him higher pay (as his letter would imply) but told him that if he was not satisfied with the present emoluments, he might mention his minimum figures. His letter shows that he is not willing to continue.

7) The matter may be taken up at the next meeting of Council for selection of a new man.

7/2/15

(Not seen)

Drawn 9/2

8) I agree that the matter may be considered at the next meeting of Council. The British Indian practice as regards payment to Public Prosecutors may be explained. 12.2.16

9) (Please see this note when the matter is taken up. I lost sight of it.) 4/2/16

* Placed in the file Mr B. Narasimha Rao's appln also is placed in the file, as enclosed.

4146 8.2.1916.

cs cvi 12/2

A-S to Revs give me a note on Br Indian practice 12/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPD—10,000—17-12-15

G.R.S. 13]

(7

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

J Dis 1079-15

Note on the British Indian practice regarding the payment to Public Prosecutors.

L

Pages 152 & 153 of Madras Civil Rules of Practice

(1) In Madras, the Public Prosecutor is also appointed Government Pleader, and as Government Pleader, he is granted a retaining fee varying from Rs 20 to Rs 50 per mensem according to the Sessions Division for which he is appointed.

(2) As Public Prosecutor he is paid a maximum fee of Rs 25/- for each day during which he has been engaged in court upon a case.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—50,000—29-6-14

J Mi 1079-15

(8

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Page 399

Pg 1399 of Bombay Gaz - Part I - dy 17.3.1910

(7) In Bombay, the Salary of Government Pleader varies from 20 Rs to 125 a month.

(8) Public Prosecutors are entitled to a fee of Rs 10/- per diem for every day during which they are actually engaged in criminal business. In cases of unusual difficulty this may be raised to Rs 25/- per diem. From this year this daily fee has been raised from Rs 10 to Rs 15

C

Pg 1326 of Bombay Gaz. dy 29-7-15
Part VII

G Srinivasan
14/7/16

Secy)

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—50,000—5-8-15

2257

(9

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

cl5 File No. 185 of 1915-16

Serial No.	
5	From the Dist. Magte, Madura Dist No 386 dy $\frac{10}{11}$-2-1916. forwards for favourable consideration the application of Mr D. Appurao, Advocate, Cuddapah, for the post of Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Divn., or any other division. Subd. The connected file was subd to the
14)	1st Member on 29-1-1916.
	dy. 15/2/16
	15/2
	First Member) Put up with the files tomorrow & add in list. 15/2/16
15)	

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C5 File No. 185 of 191 5. 16

(10

Serial No.

Venkatachar
Seshachar
Appa Rao
Ranga Rao

1) D. Ramachar Rao may be transferred to ~~Shimoga~~ Bangalore & in his place one of the above four (if they agree) may be sent to Shimoga.

Opinions may be asserted from ~~by~~ D. C. Mysore

Confidential.

D.O. No. 1.

District and Sessions Court,

Dated, Bangalore, 24th January 1916.

Dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your D.O. [illegible] instant, and in reply thereto I beg to state that among the Advocates who have [illegible] in the profession and who are fit to discharge the onerous duties of a Public Prosecutor in Bangalore Division, there may not be any willing to take up the appointment. The Sessions work in this Division is heavier than in other Divisions and consequently an experienced and a competent Advocate is required to fill up the appointment. I have had considerable experience of the Public Prosecutors in all the Divisions. In my

...y opinion, Mr. P. M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.B.L., Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division who has applied to me and who is thoroughly acquainted with the Criminal work and has discharged his duties with singular ability and independence for upwards of 3 years, may be appointed Public Prosecutor of Bangalore Division.

I venture to submit that among all the practising Advocates here who are willing to take up the appointment, I find none who have had even good Criminal practice and that the appointment of such persons may not be satisfactory and the heavy Sessions work in this Division may suffer both in quality and in quantity.

After anxious consideration of the claims of those who are willing to take up the appointment, I have ventured to propose the appointment of Mr. P.M. Ramachandra Rao on the ground that he has been tried in many a sensational case in all of which he acquitted himself creditably and has given immense satisfaction.

He

He is as good as any senior and competent Advocate that I have come across in all the three Divisions.

If the Government be not willing to disturb him, any one of the following Advocates may be appointed :-

1. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A.B.L.,
2. Mr. K. Ramaswami Iyengar, B.A.B.L.,
3. Mr. V. Venkatachar, B.A.B.L.,

Yours sincerely,

B. Nagappa.

G. Srinivasa Iyer Esquire, B.A.M.L.,
Assistant Secretary, Judicial Department,
Bangalore.

A.S III Secy 24/1

Confidential

Bangalore
24 January 1916

Dear Narasingachar,

The post of a Public Prosecutor is a very responsible one & its importance is not sufficiently realized as a rule by the Law Officers of Government & the Law Officers do not command the same amount of regard, as they should, which their colleagues in British India & elsewhere do. The chief reason being the comparatively low emoluments attached to the office.

It is therefore difficult to suggest any promising young men,

because their civil practice is
suffers considerably, & perhaps explains
why their best work is performed
in the course of a few years they
are obliged to sink into a sort
of retirement in the Sessions Court—
without any stimulus or incentive
for distinction in the noble
profession. Under present conditions
the post is not likely to attract
really efficient men of position
But to talking things as they
are, the person whom I
can think of, are in
the Admiralty Court —

1st. Mr. S. Varadachar Ba BL.
a practitioner of some 9 years
standing whose hands are full
of work (Civil, Crl & Rev:) of
excellent character & thoroughly
reliable - does a standing
Counsel for a great 420
Provincial Institution.

2nd. C. Krishnachar B.A.C. LL.B - a practitioner
of much longer standing, of
more than average ability, of good
manners & has a fair share of
Crl & Civil work

3rd V. Venkatachar Ba BL - a very
industrious young man & a
very promising advocate - of about
the same standing as No. 1. But is
now the standing Counsel for

undefended prisoners in the
Bangalore Lunatic Asylum.

4th Aug A. R. Rajeswaraiyer Esq. B.A. B.L. advocate
who was convicted & sentenced
for 2 years, & the other three
declined

I am doubtful if Nos. 1 & 3 will
accept, No 2 may, & No 4 likely.
But if the volunteers can
be kept in the order named
to give us 2 or 3 more
days.

Yours sincerely

Sir K. Seshadri Iyer

Ar III

To be at an
early hour

2/24 Jan 1916

Dear Sir

Mr. S. Venkatachala Iyengar has very little work in his Chief Court. He tells me most of his work is civil cases in the District Courts. I have practically [in his capacity] as Acting, he argued an appeal before me this day and I am not greatly impressed by his method of argument.

I have asked my colleagues for opinions. One says Mr. Venkatachala

is of average ability, and a hard
worker, but not a good speaker. He
suggests that he might be tried as
Public Prosecutor & doubts whether the
emolument is sufficient to attract
any better man. The opinion
of the Bar is that Mr. S. V. Finger
is no good, that neither as acting
Recorder (a position he held some
years ago I understand) nor as
advocate is his work satisfactory.
I am sorry I cannot give any
opinion of my own of any value
to the Government, as Mr. S. V.

a year as I have said
but among the advocates whose
practice lies much in the Chief
Court.

Yours sincerely

Lewis Sullivan

L. SREENIVASIENGAR,
ADVOCATE,
LAKSHMI STHANAM.

KASIVISWESWAR TEMPLE ROAD,
Bangalore City 6/3/1916

No.....

Dear Sir

I have enclosed herewith the replies of the 4 persons mentioned in the Memorandum Messrs K. Ramaswamy Iyengar, V Venkatachar and A R Nagaswamy Iyer are not inclined to accept the place. Mr. Groshaw is willing to do so

Yours &c

[illegible]

Bungalow
March 4/16.

Dear Sir,

Just at present I feel that I cannot accept the appointment mentioned by you.

I am to be there tomorrow and I shall be back on Monday morning. May I meet you then? In the meantime, I shall

further think of the
matter.

With many thanks

Yours truly

W. H. Mills

C.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.

Office Stamp: NEW DELHI-CITY 3 1 6

Handed in at (Office of Origin).	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
[illegible]	6	[illegible]	30		

TO

Recd. here at H. M.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Gulab Singh & Sons—No. 108 Tel.—1-9-15—50,000 Bks.

A. R. NAGESVARA IYER, B.A., B.L.,
Advocate.
ಎ. ಆರ್. ನಾಗೇಶ್ವರ ಅಯ್ಯರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್.
ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

CHICKPET,
Bangalore City. 5 - 3 - 1916.

My dear Sir,

Many thanks for your kind letter. I don't think I can with advantage accept the Public Prosecutor's place. Anyhow I request you to be good enough to Communicate my grateful thanks to those who have been kind enough to think of me in this Connection.

With best regards,

I remain,

Yours Sincerely

A. Nagesvara Iyer

L. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq.

C. SHESHACHAR, B.Sc., L.L.B.,
ADVOCATE.

IV Main Road, Chamarajapet,
Bangalore City 5-3-1916

My dear Sir,

I thank you very sincerely for your kind note.

I do not think I shall be justified in declining it seeing that you are so good towards me ever since I entered the Bar

I have always entertained the very highest regard for your age, ripe experience, mature wisdom, considerate advice & broad minded sympathy.

I believe you wish me to take it up. I do so will please me.

I shall see you later on, as I am going out in connection with this affair.

With

With my best regards

Yours very sincerely

C. Stewart

CONFIDENTIAL

D.O. 634.
En. one

District Magistrate's Office,
Bangalore, 25th January 1916.

Dear Sir,

In reply to your D.O.No.J.2797-81 of the 20th instant, re - the selection of an Officer for the Public Prosecutor's place, I beg to note overleaf the names of 3 Advocates, whom I consider to be suitable for the post. In this connection, I beg to forward also copy of an application for the post from Mr.D.M.Ramachendra Rao, Public Prosecutor of Shimoga, which has been recommended by the District Magistrate of that District. If it is considered desirable to appoint an Advocate as Public Prosecutor with experience, this gentleman may be selected.

Yours Sincerely,

K Chandy

To

G.Srinivasa Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Camp, Kadur,

19th January 1916.

Dear Sir,

Since I learn that the post of Public Prosecutorship in your Division is vacant, I beg to request you to consider my claim to it. I am desirous of having a change from Shimoga and I shall feel grateful if you can kindly nominate me to the post.

2. I completed my term as Prosecutor in January 1916 and my term has been extended to a further period of 3 years.

(13)

Subject. -Clause v of the Schedule.

Selection of a candidate for appointment as Public Prosecutor of Bangalore Division in place of Mr. Ranganna.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

(17)
The Council are of opinion that Sri Venkatachelaiyar may be appointed if he is willing to do so & Shri Ranganna. The Govt may ascertain & submit with a note

28/3/41

(12

30.3.16

Dear Mr Chrijanmeh

I send herewith
a letter which I hope
addressing to Mr. S.
Venkatachala Iyengar in
accordance with the
instruction given in Council
yesterday - May I see
it?

Yours sincerely
Sd/ G.S. Arundale

(18

PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE DEWAN · MYSORE ·

Bangalore,
12th April 1916.

Rec'd at 3.30 pm on 12/4 Queen 12/4

Dear Mr. Narasinga Rao,

19) The Dewan desires that the accompanying file relating to the appointment of a Public Prosecutor in Bangalore may be brought up for informal consideration at tomorrow's meeting of Council. Since the consideration of the question last at a Council meeting, a letter has been received from Mr. Matthan stating that Mr. Sesha Sastry is fit to be appointed as Public Prosecutor.

Yours sincerely,
S Srinivasa Rao

D.M. Narasinga Rao Esqr., B.A., B.L.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 380th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore, on the 13th April 1916.

---

10. SUBJECT.-(Clause v of the Schedule).

Selection of an officer for appointment as Public Prosecutor Bangalore Division.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

20) Mr. Sesha Sastry may be appointed Public Prosecutor of Shimoga, the present Public Prosecutor of Shimoga being transferred to Bangalore.

Sd. M. Visvesvaraya,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

D. M. Narasimha Rao 13/4

Secretary to Government,
General Department.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Courts File No. 185 of 1915-16.

(15

Serial No.	
21	A D.S.N is put up. Drawing Clerk 1714

(6)

Submitted to His Highness.

22) The term of employment of Mr. S. Ranganna, B.A. B.L., as Public Prosecutor of the Bangalore Division; expires on the 1st August 1917. But he asked to be relieved of the office at once on the ground that it has seriously interfered with his practice.

Flag E. C5 281-13-3
Paper under disposal

2. 23) The Chief Court, the Inspector General of Police the District and Sessions Judge, Bangalore, and the District Magistrates of Bangalore, Kolar and Tumkur were consulted in the matter of appointing a successor to Mr. Ranganna. The District Magistrate of Bangalore suggests the selection of Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L., whose re-appointment as Public Prosecutor of the Shimoga Division for a further period of three years from January last has recently been sanctioned and who has applied for a transfer to Bangalore. The District Judge of Bangalore also recommends this candidate.

Flag J.

Flag H. C5 129-15-4

Flag M.

3. 24) The Council at the meeting held on the 8th March 1916 accordingly approved of the transfer of Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao to Bangalore and proposed the appointment of Mr. N. Seshasastri, B.A., B.L., now holding the temporary post of Superintendent, A.E. & I., of the Shimoga District, as Public Prosecutor of the Shimoga Division, if considered fit.

Flag L.

4. 25) Mr. N. Seshasastri was practising as an Advocate at Shimoga before he was appointed Superintendent A.E. & I. He seems better qualified to hold a legal appointment than for carrying on economic work. His name was suggested in this connection for the above reasons and the District Magistrate of Shimoga has since written to say that he is eligible to be appointed as Public

Flag K.

Prosecutor both by his legal attainments and his character.

5. 26) The question was again considered in Council on the 13th April 1916 and their final recommendati is that Mr. Seshasastri may be appointed Public Prosecutor of Shimoga, and Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao transf -red to Bangalore in place of Mr. Ranganna who has offer to relinquish the office.

Hag K. Page 149 the office note.

6. 27) The proposals of the Council may be approved

M. Visvesvaraya
2nd May 1916

(18)

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Appointment of Mr. N. Seshasastri B.A.,B.L., Economic Superintendent, Shimoga as Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division, and the transfer of Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao to Bangalore as Public Prosecutor vice Mr. S. Ranganna.

1059

Order.

28) ~~KRW~~
6/5/16

Sanctioned
8.5.16

2257

(19

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.S. File No. 185 of 1915

Serial No.

474.
10.5.16.

29) The draft order may be approved.
Second member
Draft ordered 10/5
Ordered & approved.
30) 10.5.16

Confidential opinion upon petitioners application for appointment as Public Prosecutor

Es 11/5

6 To The Registrar of the court
The Dt. Magistrate of Delhi except Shimoga and Hassan
The Dt and Sessions Judges of Bangalore and Shimoga
The Comptroller

31 June Es 11/5

And 4422-34 11-5-16

States that Mr. Seshasastri is appointed as Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Divn. for 3 years and that Mr. D. N. Ramachandra Rao is transferred to Bangalore Divn.

To Mr. S. Ranganna
" N. Seshasastri
" D. N. Ramachandra Rao

Forwards copy of the above.

A I III

Dy 8/1/16 ... J 1878

8/1/16

Bangalore
8th January 16

From

The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

To

The Secretary to Government
Jeneral Department
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have held the Office of Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for a period of 4 years and 6 months beginning from August 1911. My practice has thereby suffered almost irreparably. There is nothing to gain by my continuing in it any longer.

I therefore request you to kindly obtain the orders of Government relieving me permanently from my present office from the 1st February 1916 or any other convenient date.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient
servant
Mangana
Public Prosecutor

A.S III / 2111 / 1915

APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT.

CS 185-15-2

To The Secretary to Government
General Department
Bangalore

SIR,

I beg to tender this my application for appointment and state the following particulars. Specimens of my hand-writing, in English and Canarese, on half sheets of paper foolscap size are annexed hereto ~~together with copies of my testimonials~~. Testimonials will be obtained and submitted if required.

I am your obedient servant,

S. Venkatachala Iyengar

1. Name ...	S. Venkatachala Iyengar
2. Approximate date of birth	14-8-1875
3. Father's name ...	S. Varadaraja Iyengar
4. Caste, sect, etc. ...	Sri. Vaishnava Brahman
5. Residence and address	"Varada Nivasam" 5th Road, Chamarajapet. Bangalore City
6. Present occupation and salary, if any	Advocate
7. What post is sought ...	Public Prosecutor, Bangalore
8. Claims by relationship to past or existing servants of the State.	Son of Rao S. Varadaraja Iyengar Sub-Judge (Retired)
9. Personal claims (such as by services rendered in acting or temporary appointments, etc.)	Acted as Munsiff of Kolar on two occasions
10. Qualifications such as examinations passed, etc.	B.A., and B.L. of the Madras University
11. Other particulars which the applicant wishes to state.	Practising as an Advocate for the last 14 years.

V. R. SOOBBIAH AND SONS, PRINTERS, BANGALORE —1916.

11/1/1916

G.R.S. 26] 2 W/1

Confidential
2W/5

Cl. File No. 185-15
Serial 3

Diary No. of letter replied to

D. O. No. J 2497-801

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19 .

~~To~~

Dear Sir,

I am desired to state that Mr S. Rangamma, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, has tendered his resignation on the ground that his private practice has suffered by his having held the post. I am to request you to suggest the names of three advocates or pleaders whom you consider suitable for the post.

The favour of a reply in 3 or 4 days is requested.

Yours faithful
over.

Issue 20.1.1916.

Signed by Messrs D.H.N.t G.S.

SUBJECT.

To 1. K. Chandy Esq B.A.
Dist. Mgte, Bangalore

2. K. A. Srinivasamurthy Esq M.A.
Dist. Mgte, Tumkur

3. P. F. Bowring Esq
Dist. Mgte, Kolar

4. B. Nagappa Esq Bar-at-law
Sessions Judge, Bangalore

5. C. Srikanteswara Aiyer Esq B.A., B.L.
P. C. of Police.

2W/1

20-1-16

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

G.R.S. 26]

Confidential

CS File No. 185-15

Serial No. 4

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

G. O. No. J 2795/Eu: 1

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

Date of issue 20. 1. 1916

Signed by Mr. D. N. Narasinga Rao

* which may be obligingly returned with the reply

Dear Sir,

I am desired to forward herewith an application from Mr. S. Venkatachala Iyengar, Advocate, Bangalore, for being appointed as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division, in place of Mr. S. Ranganna who has tendered his resignation and to request that Govt. may be favoured with your opinion on the merits of the applicant for the post.

The favour of a very early reply is requested.

over.

Yours sincerely.

SUBJECT.

To Sir Leslie Creery Miller Kt.
Chief Judge.

20/1

20-1-16

20/1/16.

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

GPB—50,000—17-9-15

1915-16.

From

The District Magistrate
Kadur Dist.

To

The Secretary to Govt., General Dept.

Dated 10th Feb: 1916.

Despatched 11th Feb: 1916.

Received

General No. 356.

No.

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards for favourable consideration the application of Mr. D. Appu Rao. B.A. B.L. Advocate, Chikmagalur.

Office of the District Magistrate,

Kadur District,

Dated Chikmagalur, 10th February 1916.

From

Nagar Subba Rao, Esquire, B.A.,

District Magistrate,

Kadur District.

C 185-15

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith application dated 10th instant from Mr. D. Appu Rao, B.A., B.L., Advocate residing at Chikmagalur for appointment as a Public Prosecutor, I have the honour to state that the applicant has been practiding as an Advocate for the past 12 years and is now one of the successful practitioners in the Town of Chikmagalur. He is an intelligent and promising young man and I have no doubt, as I have known him for a long time, that he is eminently fitted for the post for which he has now applied. I request that you will be so good as to move the Government to take favourable consideration of the applicant's prayer.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Magistrate,

Kadur District.

D.S.R.

DIARY No. J.2257



To,

The Secretary to Government,
General Department.
BANGALORE.

185 15

Through the District Magistrate
Kadur District.

The undersigned begs leave to bring the following to your kind and favourable consideration:-

The undersigned who is a native of Chikmagalore graduated in Law from the Madras University in the year 1900 and has been practising as an Advocate in the Mysore Province since then. He has also passed the Revenue Examination of the Mysore Local Service. He learns that the Public Prosecutor's post of the Bangalore Division has fallen vacant and begs to offer himself for the same.

(2) That the undersigned has also on many occasions been appointed as a Standing Counsel.

(3) If in the filling up of the above appointment the place should fall vacant in any other division, the undersigned is also willing to accept the same and will try his best to discharge the duties to the best of his ability.

(4) He begs leave to refer to Mr Justice Paramasiva Iyer, Messers Mir Humza Hussain, B.S.Krishnaswami Iyengar and D.M.Narasinga Rao before whom he had the privilege of practising as regards his capacity and character.

Chikmagalur.
10. 2. 1916.

D. Appu Rao
Advocate,
Petitioner.

Cts. 185-15-

ab

(27)

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Government Proceedings No.J.286-91/Cts.281-13-3, dated the 30th July 1914 re-appointing Mr. S. Ranganna, B.A B.L., as Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division for a further period of three years.

2. Government Proceedings No.J. 2591-7/Cts.129-15-4 dated the 31st December 1915 re-appointing Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L., as Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division, for a further period of three years.

Paper under disposal

3. Letter dated the 8th January 1916 from Mr. S. Ranganna, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division representing that, as his practice has very greatly suffered during the period of his employment as Public Prosecutor, he is not willing to continue as such any longer, and requesting that he may be permanently relieved of his duties from 1st February 1916 or any other convenient date.

Note 14/16/2/16

Draw those the paragraphs & show. Is Mr Sesha Sastri an Advocate? If so please enter accordingly in brackets.

Cat

2.

Order No. J 4422-34/Cts. 185-15-C

Dated, Bangalore, the 11th May 1916.

Under Section 492 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1904, Government are pleased to appoint Mr. N. Sesha Sastri, B.A., B.L., ~~Superintendent, A.E. & I, Shimoga,~~ Advocate, as Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division, for a period of three years on Rs 150 p.m. He will join duty forthwith.

Pay and term fixed in G.O. d. 22-12-06 flag D.

~~(To join forthwith)~~

2. Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor, is transferred from the Shimoga to the Bangalore Division vice Mr Ranganna permitted to resign the office of Public Prosecutor. (To relieve Mr. S. Ranganna after relief by Mr. Sesha Sastri.).

10/5

Secretary to Government,

General Department.

10.5.16

Signed by Mr. G. Srinivasa Iyer.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court.
The District Magistrates of districts except Mysore and Hassan.
The District and Sessions Judges of Bangalore and Shimoga Divisions.
The Comptroller to Government.

Copies to

1. Mr. S. Ranganna,
2. Mr. N. Sesha Sastri, and
3. Mr. D.M. Ramachandra Rao.

4 Br VI sent 11/5/16